

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,760	04/30/2001	Lawrence M. Besaw	10006612-1	9179	
7590 11/21/2006			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CHANKONG, DOHM		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2152	
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/843,760	BESAW, LAWRENCE M.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dohm Chankong	2152			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u> . 2a) □ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-53 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-53 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a positive pending in the application and pending is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a pending in the application application of the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according to a pending in the application application of the above claim(s) are subjected to by the Examination of the application of the applicati	awn from consideration. for election requirement.	-vaminer			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/843,760

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is in response to Applicant's request for continued examination. Claims 21, 26, 41 and 42 are amended. Claims 21-53 are presented for further examination.
- 2> This is a non-final rejection.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9.5.2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

I. <u>APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS HAVE BEEN CAREFULLY CONSIDERED BUT ARE NOT PERSUASIVE.</u>

Applicant argues in substance: (A) suggests that Dobbins' might not be entitled to the priority date of its provisional application; and (B) that there is no proper motivation to combine Dobbins' and Lim. Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive for the following reasons.

A. Dobbins is entitled to the priority date of its provisional application because the subject relied upon to make rejection is supported by the provisional application.

Dobbins is entitled to the priority date of its provisional application. The 35 U.S.C.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,760

Art Unit: 2152

102(e) critical reference date of a U.S. application publication entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a provisional application under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is the filing date of the provisional application if the provisional application(s) properly supports the subject matter relied upon to make the rejection in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. MPEP \$2163.03(III).

Here, the subject matter relied upon to make the rejection is properly supported by the provisional application in compliance with 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph. For example, with respect to claim 21, the Office relied upon subject matter relating to security policies and subscriber profiles that created a network resources portal for that specific subscriber.

Dobbins' provisional application discloses this subject matter throughout the disclosure in both an enabling manner and in a manner that conveys to one of ordinary skill in the art that they properly owned the invention [pg. 3, ¶¶3-4 | pgs. 74-87, the page starting with "Directory-enabled Applicant and Network Service Portal"].

With respect to claim 26, the Office relied upon subject matter relating to a configuration database, modules designed to provide a specific portal display, display filters and generating a portal display based on application of a security and display filter. Again, Dobbins' provisional application provides ample written description and enablement for the relied upon subject matter [pgs. 74-87: see specifically sections entitled "Overview", "Authentication", "Portal Creation and Rendering"].

As Dobbins' provisional application properly supports the relied upon subject matter, the critical reference date of Dobbins' application publication date is filing date of the

provisional application. For the purposes of this action and all subsequent actions, the Office will reference Dobbins' application publication.

B. The motivation to combine Dobbins, Lim and Rangarajan come from the nature of the problem to be solved and the teachings of the prior art.

As understood by the Office, Applicant sets forth three primary arguments as to why there is no proper motivation to combine the references: (1) the "nature of the problem to be solved" motivation is insufficient because the nature of the problem to be solved must relate to Applicant's invention (2) there is no motivation to combine the references in the manner claimed; and (3) the Office failed to supply a proper motivation to combine Dobbins, Lim and Rangarajan. The Office disagrees for the following reasons.

I. The nature of the problem to be solved in the combination of Dobbins and Lim is related to Applicant's invention.

Applicant argues that the Office has improperly relied upon a "nature of the problem to be solved" motivation to combine Dobbins and Lim because the problem to be solved (control and access of resources to customers) is unrelated to the concept of partitioned networks. However, the Office submits that the nature of the problem to be solved in Dobbins and Lim are related to Applicant's invention.

Lim is relied upon to teach the concept of partitioned networks. Generally, Lim is directed towards service management [column 1 «lines 6-9»]. Lim discloses that partitioned networks not novel and, indeed, are well known in the art [column 1 «lines 25-30»].

Partitioning networks enables an administrator to efficiently manage resources and customers. [column 1 «lines 28-30»]. Networks are generally partitioned which create individual networks for each customer; the benefit of this function is to "provide a more

service oriented view of the network, characterized on the NMS by the subscribed services and the access points in and out of the network for a particular customer" [column I «lines 45-48»]. As interpreted by the Office, Lim teaches the ability to partition networks for each particular customer in the network to make it easier for the service provider to control and manage the overall network.

Dobbins did not expressly disclose partitioning networks but, like Lim, is directed towards a service management invention [Dobbins, 0009]. Dobbins discloses a goal of his invention is to provide an efficient means of managing network resources. In this aspect, both Dobbins and Lim are both directed towards the same problem - how to provide to an administrator the best means to manage and control the resources and services that are provided to their subscribers. To this end, Lim utilizes partitioned networks because, as discussed, it provides a more service-oriented view of the network which makes it easier for an administrator to manage resources and its customers. Based on this expected benefit, it would have been obvious to incorporate Lim's teaching of a partitioning networks into Dobbins' service management system.

In sum, as the Applicant's invention is directed, in part, to using partitioned networks allocated to a customer for providing management information, and Lim discloses partitioned networks allocated to a customer for providing management information [see Lim, column 2 «lines 6-11»], Lim is very much related to Applicant's invention. The nature of the problem to be solved found in the combination of Lim and Dobbins is entirely related to Applicant's invention.

2. Lim is discloses the feature of a partitioned network in the manner claimed by Applicant.

Applicant argues that even if Lim does teach partitioned networks that such a teaching merely suggests using Lim's network and is not a motivation to combine Lim with Dobbins in the manner claimed. The strongest rationale for combining references is a recognition, expressly or impliedly in the prior art that some advantage or expected beneficial result would have been produced by their combination. In re Sernaker, 702 F.2d 989, 994-95 (Fed. Cir. 1983). The Office submits that Lim's teachings of partitioned networks impliedly provide the motivation to combine the references.

As discussed above, Lim discloses partitioned networks as well as a variety of benefits that arise from partitioning networks for specific customers. Lim thus discloses partitioned networks in the manner claimed by Applicant. Since Lim and Dobbins are both related to providing a means to manage and access network resources to subscribers, one of ordinary skill in the art would have relied upon Lim's disclosure to implement partitioned networks into Dobbins' system for the benefits previously discussed.

Applicant also argues that the cited sections in Lim do not provide any motivation. However, the motivation to combine is implied by Lim's express recognition of the benefits of a partitioned network: efficient management of resources and customers and providing a more service-oriented view of the network. Therefore, there would be a clear expected beneficial result in combining Lim and Dobbins to teach the invention in the manner claimed by Applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/843,760

Art Unit: 2152

3. The motivation to combine Dobbins and Rangarajan comes from the Rangarajan reference.

Applicant argues that there is no proper motivation to combine Rangarajan with Dobbins and Lim. Applicant also asserts that there must not only be proper motivation to combine Rangarajan and Dobbins, but proper to motivation to combine Rangarajan and Lim as well. The Office disagrees.

As to the second point, there is no statutory requirement that there must be motivation to combine secondary references. The consideration under a \$103 rejection is how to combine the primary reference with the secondary references. As to Applicant's first point that there is no motivation to combine Rangarajan, the Office submits that Rangarajan impliedly recognizes an expected benefit from combining with Dobbins.

Like Dobbins and Lim, Rangarajan is directed towards an invention that provides tools to an administrator to manage and control how network resources are presented to a user [column 7 «lines 25-41»]. Rangarajan discloses that the benefit of his invention is to "generate[s] a GUI tailored to the user's needs with respect to a particular problem domain" [column 1 «lines 61-62»]. Part of the tools disclosed include Applicant's claimed alarm, topology and network health modules.

With respect to this feature, Dobbins discloses "a graphical user interface that helps the administrator maintain the system" [0029]. It thus would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate Rangarajan's teachings to improve Dobbins' GUI by enabling an administrator using Dobbins' system to customize his GUI with various network management tools such as alarms or topology. This expected benefit of being able to customize the GUI that manages the network is impliedly recognized in Rangarajan. Thus,

Rangarajan's acknowledgement of the expected benefit provides the motivation to combine Dobbins' and Rangarajan.

II. DOBBINS AND LIM DISCLOSE THE AMENDED LIMITATION OF DISPLAYING ON-LINE SERVICE INFORMATION THAT COMPRISES NETWORK MANAGEMENT INFORMATION REGARDING THE NETWORK RESOURCES.

Applicant's amendment does not distinguish the claimed invention over the prior art.

Applicant amends the independent claims to recite a feature that the displayed on-line service information comprises network management information, such as network monitoring information, diagnostic information, alarms or network health information, regarding network resources. The Office submits that both Dobbins and Lim discloses the amended limitation.

Lim discloses that one aspect of his invention allows customers "the ability to monitor and manage their outsourced network resources in much the same way as they manage their in-house resources, giving them control of their VPNs" (emphasis added) [column 2 «lines 8-11»]. Lim further discloses "[a] network management system [that] allows an operator to configure the network in accordance with specific requirements" [column 3 «lines 7-9»].

Using Applicant's own interpretation of network management information (network monitoring), Lim impliedly discloses a system that provides network monitoring information for its customers. That is, since Lim discloses a system that provides customers the ability to monitor network resources, it necessarily follows that they are first provided with monitoring information.

Moreover, Dobbins also impliedly discloses providing network management information regarding network resources. Dobbins expressly discloses:

"[m]onitoring services are also made available to the system administrators who may then use the services to observe the operating performance of the system from time to time. The services present statistics and other data through a graphical user interface that helps the administrator maintain the system" (emphasis added) [0028].

Dobbins therefore discloses providing network management information, in the form of network monitoring information such as operating performance and statistics. Dobbins and Lim both disclose the amended limitations as claimed.

III. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive and Applicant's amendments do not overcome the prior art references. The rejections set forth in the previous actions are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This rejection will only formally address those claims that have been amended by Applicant in the most recent amendment, filed 9.5.2006. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action, see non-final rejection filed 12.22.2006 and final rejection filed 6.9.2006.

- Claims 21, 22, 26-28, 30, 32, 37-39, 41-43, 45, 47, 52 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobbins et al, U.S Patent Publication No. 2002/0066033 ["Dobbins"], in view of Lim.
- 6> As to claim 21, Dobbins discloses a method for filtering on-line service information provided through a management portal to a customer of customized network services provided by resources of a service provider network, comprising:

applying to said service provider network a security filter definable by service provider and not the customer, said security filter corresponding to the customer and specifying the network resources allocated to that customer [0009, 0024, 0043 where:

Dobbins discloses policies controllable by the administrator that determine which content and network resources a particular subscriber has access];

applying a display filter to resources of the service provider network not excluded by said security filter, said display filter specifying network resources for which the on-line service information is desired by the customer [0023, 0024, 0026: after applying the authentication policy, Dobbins' system applies the subscriber's profile to further refine which content and services that the user desires]; and

executing at least one management information module to generate a portal display of on-line service information, wherein said at least one management information module operates only on those network resources of said service provider network which have not been excluded by said security filter and said display filter [0025, 0036, 0037 where: Dobbins' renderer delivers a portal containing the data and content refined by the user policies], and

Application/Control Number: 09/843,760

Art Unit: 2152

wherein the displayed on-line service information comprises network management information regarding the network resources not excluded by said security filter and display filter [0028 : see response above for discussion].

Dobbins does disclose a service provider network, but does not to expressly disclose the service network or the resources are included in a partitioned network.

In a similar field of invention, Lim is directed towards a system enabling a service provider to manage its services partition its network resources into different networks associated with different customers [column 1 «lines 37-62» | column 20 «lines 61-62»]. Lim discloses network resources of a partitioned network allocated to a customer with the partitioned network including at least a portion of said service provider network [column 25-29» | column 21 «lines 28 and 46-64» | column 22 «lines 24-40»]. In other words Dobbins discloses an invention that enables management and monitoring of network resources. Lim discloses an invention that enables management and monitoring of network resources utilizing a partitioned network for each customer. Lim discloses that benefits of partitioning networks includes providing a more service-oriented view and enabling more efficient management of resources.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Dobbins' management system to include the partitioned networks taught by Lim. As

discussed, incorporating partitioned networks would provide an expected benefit to Dobbins

by providing a service provider the ability to divide network resources and allocate them to

customers. The combination of Dobbins and Lim discloses Applicant's invention in the manner claimed.

As to claim 26, Dobbins discloses a method for filtering on-line service information presented through a management portal to a customer of customized network services provided by resources of a service provider network, comprising:

storing, in a configuration database accessible by a service provider and not the customer, security filters each specifying network resources of a partitioned network allocated to a corresponding customer [0010, 0017, 0023];

providing a plurality of modules each configured to provide a respective portal display of on-line service information [0021, 0024, 0027];

storing, in a filter library accessible to the customer, display filters each configured to specify customer-selected network resources to which selected ones of said plurality of modules it to be applied [0010, 0017, 0023, 0036];

displaying a portal display of on-line service information generated from application of one of said plurality of modules to network resources resulting from application to the service provider network of a security filter corresponding to the customer and at least one said display filters [0009, 0010, 0017, 0023, 0036, 0037], and wherein the displayed on-line service information comprises network management information regarding the network resources not excluded by said security filter and display filter [0028 : see response above for discussion].

Dobbins does not expressly disclose partitioning the service provider network into a plurality of partitioned networks nor does he disclose allocating one of said partitioned networks to the customer.

In a similar field of invention, Lim is directed towards a system enabling a service provider to manage its services partition its network resources into different networks associated with different customers [column I «lines 37-62» | column 20 «lines 61-62»]. Lim discloses network resources of a partitioned network allocated to a customer with the partitioned network including at least a portion of said service provider network [column 25-29» | column 21 «lines 28 and 46-64» | column 22 «lines 24-40»]. In other words Dobbins discloses an invention that enables management and monitoring of network resources. Lim discloses an invention that enables management and monitoring of network resources utilizing a partitioned network for each customer. Lim discloses that benefits of partitioning networks includes providing a more service-oriented view and enabling more efficient management of resources.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify

Dobbins' management system to include the partitioned networks taught by Lim. As

discussed, incorporating partitioned networks would provide an expected benefit to Dobbins

by providing a service provider the ability to divide network resources and allocate them to

customers. The combination of Dobbins and Lim discloses Applicant's invention in the

manner claimed.

- As to claim 41, as it does not teach or further define over the claimed limitations, it is similarly rejected for the reasons set forth for claims 21 and 26.
- Claims 23-25, 31, 33-36, 46 and 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobbins and Lim, in further view of Rangarajan et al, U.S Patent No. 6.275.225, ["Rangarajan"].
- Claims 29 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

 Dobbins and Lim, in further view of Teijido et al, U.S Patent No. 2002 0053020 ["Teijido"].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dohm Chankong whose telephone number is 571.272.3942.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday [7:30 AM to 4:30 PM].

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on 571.272.3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DC

---BUNJOB JAROÈNCHONWANIT SUPERVISÒRY PATENT EXAMINER